IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ELIZABETH PICHLER, RUSSELL,
CHRISTIAN, SETH NYE, HOLLY
MARSTON, KEVIN QUINN, JOSE
L. SABASTRO, THOMAS RILEY,
AMY RILEY and RUSSELL DAUBERT,
On Behalf Of Themselves And All
Others Similarly Situated,

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 04-CV-02841

Plaintiffs,

V.

UNITE (UNION OF NEEDLETRADES, : INDUSTRIAL & TEXTILE : EMPLOYEES AFL-CIO), :

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of February, 2011, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Class Counsel Spector Gadon & Rosen, in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,00.00), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. \$2724(b)(3) and the Court's Decision and Order of August 30, 2006, as amended October 17, 2006, and the parties' Settlement Agreement, and any response thereto (there being no objection from Defendant); it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED, that Plaintiff's said Motion is GRANTED in its entirety, and Class Counsel is awarded, and Defendant UNITE is ordered to pay Class Counsel, the sum of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00) as

Case 2:04-cv-02841-SD Document 310 Filed 01/13/11 Page 2 of 48

full and total reimbursement of recoverable fees and expenses of this action.

By the Court:
Honorable Stewart Dalzell IISDI

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ELIZABETH PICHLER, RUSSELL, CHRISTIAN, SETH NYE, HOLLY MARSTON, KEVIN QUINN, JOSE

L. SABASTRO, THOMAS RILEY,

AMY RILEY and RUSSELL DAUBERT, :

On Behalf Of Themselves And All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

UNITE (UNION OF NEEDLETRADES, : INDUSTRIAL & TEXTILE :

EMPLOYEES AFL-CIO),

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 04-CV-02841

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES FOR PLAINTIFF CLASS'S COUNSEL

The Named Plaintiffs, on behalf of the Plaintiff Class, by their undersigned attorneys, respectfully move for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Class Counsel Spector Gadon & Rosen ("SGR" or "Class Counsel"), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §2724(b)(3) and the Court's Decision and Order of August 30, 2006, as amended October 17, 2006, and pursuant to the parties' Settlement Agreement, in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00). In support of said Motion For Fees, Movants incorporate the facts and law contained in the following Memorandum of Law.

Respectfully submitted,

SPECTOR GADON & ROSEN, P.C.

By David

Paul R. Rosen, Esquire David B. Picker, Esquire

Dated: January 13, 2011

1635 Market Street - 7th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 241-8888 / FAX- (215) 241-8844 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1012442_1 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ELIZABETH PICHLER, RUSSELL, CHRISTIAN, SETH NYE, HOLLY

MARSTON, KEVIN QUINN, JOSE L. SABASTRO, THOMAS RILEY,

AMY RILEY and RUSSELL DAUBERT, :

On Behalf Of Themselves And All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

UNITE (UNION OF NEEDLETRADES, : INDUSTRIAL & TEXTILE :

EMPLOYEES AFL-CIO),
Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 04-CV-02841

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES FOR PLAINTIFF CLASS'S COUNSEL

The Named Plaintiffs, on behalf of the Plaintiff Class, by their undersigned attorneys, respectfully submit this Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Class Counsel Spector Gadon & Rosen ("SGR" or "Class Counsel"), in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,00.00), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. \$2724(b)(3) and the Court's Decision and Order of August 30, 2006, as amended October 17, 2006.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY</u>

The Drivers Privacy Protection Act, 18 U.S.C. §2724(b)(3), authorizes the Court to order a defendant to reimburse the fees and expenses of counsel who brings a successful claim for violation of the Act. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have substantially prevailed in their claims against Defendant UNITE in this long and hard-fought litigation, resulting in a

Judgment by the Court that will likely result in a multi-million dollar recovery by the Class. Plaintiffs and UNITE have entered into a settlement pursuant to which they have agreed on a mechanism for paying the Claims of Class Members, thus foreclosing any further litigation.

The fees and expenses of Class Counsel in this matter that are potentially awardable against UNITE under the Act exceed \$1.56 million. Nevertheless, as part of the parties' settlement, Class Counsel has agreed to accept payment of \$1 million, and UNITE has agreed not to challenge or object to an award in that amount. This Motion is now filed pursuant to the statute, the Court's prior award, and that agreement.

II. PROCEDURAL AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND

This action involves Defendant UNITE's violation of the privacy of Named Plaintiffs' motor vehicle records, in violation of the Drivers Privacy Protection Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2721 et seq. ("DPPA"). UNITE admitted that, as part of a long-standing union organizing tactic, it obtained Named Plaintiffs' names and/or addresses from motor vehicle records, in order to use that information to visit Named Plaintiffs in their homes, and/or to otherwise contact them, to try to interest them in joining the union. UNITE did this as part of its nation-wide campaign to unionize Cintas Corporation ("Cintas").

The Named Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on June 28, 2004, seeking compensatory and punitive damages and injunctive relief, as provided by the DPPA, against UNITE, its president Bruce Raynor, and another union, the International Brotherhood of Teamsters ("IBT"). On August 17, 2004, Named Plaintiffs filed their Amended Complaint seeking class action status.

After this action was commenced, UNITE joined with another union to form UNITE HERE. The settling party is "UNITE HERE as successor to Defendant UNITE", and is referred to in the description of the action as "UNITE", but in the description of the Settlement as "UNITE HERE".

Also in or around June 2004, Cintas and Class Counsel entered into a Common Interest Agreement and a Retainer Agreement, pursuant to which, *inter alia*, Cintas agreed to pay Class Counsel's hourly fees and out-of-pocket expenses, but not to interfere with Class Counsel's handling of the litigation.

Defendants moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 12(b). By Order dated October 13, 2004 (*reported at* 339 F. Supp.2d 665), this Court held that this was not a labor dispute, refused to imply a labor exception to the DPPA, and denied the motions to dismiss.

After class discovery,² Named Plaintiffs moved for class certification on February 25, 2005. By Memorandum and Order dated May 31, 2005 (*reported at* 228 F.R.D. 230), as amended by Orders dated June 6, 2005, and June 14, 2005, this Court certified the action as a Class Action solely as against UNITE, pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 23(b)(3), and approved Spector Gadon & Rosen as Class Counsel.³ Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 23(f), on June 10, 2005, UNITE filed a Petition in the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, docketed at No. 05-8031, for Leave to Appeal the certification of the class. By Order dated July 18, 2005, the Third Circuit denied UNITE's Petition for Leave to Appeal.⁴ As amended by Order dated December 14, 2005, and pursuant to Stipulation executed February 8, 2006, and approved by Order dated February 9, 2006, the Court re-certified the Class as a Class pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 23(b)(1)(A).

² Class discovery included the depositions of all the Named Plaintiffs, four Defendants witnesses, two non-party witnesses, and review of many documents.

³ The Court expressly rejected Defendants' objection to the arrangement by which Cintas agreed to pay Class Counsel's fees and expenses, and even recognized the possibility of Class Counsel receiving a "reasonable percentage" of the total recovery for the Class. <u>Pichler</u>, 228 F. Supp.2d at 252.

⁴ Meanwhile, Plaintiffs settled and dismissed their claims against the IBT.

In pursuit of merits discovery, Plaintiffs' counsel took the depositions of more than fifteen (15) Defendant and non-party witnesses, and reviewed tens of thousands of pages of documents produced by UNITE and third-parties, as well as both propounding and answering Interrogatories. Discovery sought not only to establish the substantive merits of Plaintiffs' case, but also to ascertain the identities of potential class members, since UNITE had destroyed its records of whose motor vehicle information it had searched.⁵

After completion of discovery, the parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment as to liability on May 15, 2006. By Memorandum and Order dated August 30, 2006 (*reported at* 446 F. Supp.2d 353), this Court held that UNITE's admitted use of personal motor vehicle information in aid of its union organizing campaign and without knowing in advance anything about the persons whose information was accessed was not for a purpose "permitted" by the DPPA, as the Court construed it, and granted Named Plaintiffs summary judgment as to liability against UNITE only. The Court entered judgment against UNITE for the minimum statutory damages of \$2,500.00 for a single DPPA violation for each of the Named Plaintiffs, except that the Court granted only a single award to be shared by Thomas and Amy Riley, who are married registered co-owners. Finding no evidence that Defendant Raynor had personally participated in or ordered UNITE's improper actions, the Court granted summary judgment dismissing the claims against him.⁶

938567_2 4

⁵ E.g., Pichler v. UNITE, 646 F. Supp.2d 759, 762 (E.D. Pa., 2009) ("Before the public announcement of the Cintas campaign, UNITE destroyed anything 'used during the prep of the campaign.""). In its November 17, 2006 Order allowing Class Counsel to send an initial notice to potential Class members, this Court observed that "The record in this case reveals that we cannot rely on UNITE's record-keeping to track" information relating to its use of personal information obtained from motor vehicle records.

⁶ Named Plaintiffs did not pursue the appeal they noticed from the dismissal of their Claims against Raynor.

Believing that the case raised issues of first impression that would benefit from appellate review before "turning to the cumbersome and costly machinery of class-wide relief," the Court sought to certify its judgment as final pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 54(b). See 446 F. Supp.2d at 372-73. Upon suggestion that appellate review was not available because the Court had not finally determined all claims for relief of the Named Plaintiffs, the Court ordered further briefing from the parties on certain specified issues. By Order and Judgment entered October 17, 2006 (reported at 457 F. Supp.2d 524), the Court entered an amended final judgment as to the named Plaintiffs.

Both sides cross-appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. By decision dated September 9, 2008 (*reported at* 542 F.3d 380), the Court of Appeals affirmed this Court's imposition of liability, separate awards to Amy and Thomas Riley, and dismissal of Deborah Sabastro and Carri Daubert. The Third Circuit vacated and remanded for further proceedings this Court's refusal to award punitive damages or multiple awards. UNITE then filed a Petition For Writ of *Certiorari* in the United States Supreme Court, which that Court denied on March 23, 2009 (*reported at* 129 S. Ct. 1662).

Meanwhile, on or about October 26, 2006, Plaintiffs moved to unseal certain portions of the Record that had been filed under seal pursuant to a protective order entered near the beginning of the case. Plaintiffs motion to unseal was in furtherance of their interest in protecting privacy and vindicating the protections of the DPPA by publicizing the improper actions of Defendants. By decision dated December 4, 2006 (and *reported at* 238 F.R.D. 405), this Court granted that Motion and ordered substantial parts of the Record unsealed.

Also in October 2006, Plaintiffs moved for the Court's approval to send notice to all then-known potential class members of the pendency of this class action, asking such persons

938567_2 5

to notify class counsel of any change in address and to preserve any evidence of Defendants' use of their personal motor vehicle information. The Court granted that motion for approval of notice by Order dated November 17, 2006. Defendants moved for reconsideration, which Plaintiffs opposed and the Court denied.

After denial of *certiorari* by the Supreme Court, this Court directed the parties to file motions for summary judgment on the issues of punitive damages and multiple awards of statutory damages. UNITE moved for summary judgment limiting the *Named Plaintiffs* to a single award of statutory damages each, which Named Plaintiffs stated that they did not oppose because there was not unambiguous evidence that UNITE had used the Named Plaintiffs' personal motor vehicle information more than once.

UNITE also moved for summary judgment denying punitive damages, which Named Plaintiffs did oppose. By decision dated August 11, 2009 (*reported at* 646 F.Supp.2d 759), the Court granted UNITE's motion and denied Named Plaintiffs and the Class the right to seek punitive damages. The Court then entered Judgment, on August 12, 2009, for the remaining Named Plaintiffs, in the amount of \$2,500 each, and enjoined UNITE from using their motor vehicle information. The Court also awarded Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, to be taxed. By Order dated August 11, 2009, the Court ordered the parties to submit their proposals for class-wide relief.

On August 28, 2009, Named Plaintiffs submitted their proposals and form of Claim form and Notice. UNITE submitted their proposals on September 4, 2009, and the parties thereafter filed written responses to each other's submissions. The parties' submissions revealed significant disagreement over a number of issues requiring resolution by the Court, including the nature of the Class Judgment that should be entered, the identity of Class

Members and who should receive notice and receive payment, and the form and content of Class Notice and Claim Forms. For example, Named Plaintiffs asserted that individual Class Members should be allowed to establish that they were entitled to more than one award based on multiple uses of their motor vehicle information. There was also a dispute as to whether there would be a lump sum or a rolling "claims-made" judgment, and how any residual should be disposed.

The parties worked to resolve their issues over who should and should not be included in the Class List, and also to resolve their other issues. When an impasse was reached, the Court, with the parties' consent, Ordered them to mediation before the Honorable Jacob P. Hart, United States Magistrate Judge. After extensive arms'-length negotiations, including three sessions before Judge Hart, the parties finally agreed to the Settlement Agreement which the Court preliminarily approved by Order dated October 15, 2010. Notices to the Class have been mailed, and the responses are in the process of being examined and, where necessary, followed up. A Final Fairness Hearing is scheduled for February 8, 2011.

III. PLAINTIFF CLASS COUNSEL'S FEES AND EXPENSES

Through December 31, 2010, Class Counsel has charged Cintas a total of \$1,442,449.82 in fees and \$127,227.78 in out-of-pocket disbursements,⁷ for a total of \$1,569,692.50. Of this amount, a total of 10,846.22 was written off, so that the total billed is \$1,568,826.30. See Exhibit A. Cintas has paid nearly all of this amount.

Attached as Exhibit B is a schedule showing the time spent by each of the various attorneys and paralegals on this matter over 6+ year life of this litigation, and their respective

938567_2 7

Disbursements consist of "hard costs" totaling \$114,366.18, and "soft costs" totaling \$12,856.60. "Hard costs" consist of monies paid to outside vendors, such as court reporters, travel expenses, and outside photocopying and couriers; while "soft costs" consist of internal expenses such as postage, messengers, internal photocopying, and secretarial overtime.

billing rates. The bulk of the attorney time and charges were performed by Paul R. Rosen, Esquire, a senior partner with over 45 years' experience as a commercial litigator (including lead counsel in several class actions), and David B. Picker, an attorney with 30 years' commercial litigation experience. Both attorneys Rosen and Picker (among others) were previously described by a court as "formidable advocates". In re: Estate of Emanuel Rosenfeld, 2006 WL 3040020, at *36, 29 Fiduc.Rep.2d 271 (Pa. Orphans Ct., Phila. Co. 2006). A brief description of the experience level of each attorney and paralegal is attached as Exhibit C.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §2724(b)(3) and the Court's Order granting summary judgment, Class Counsel now moves for reimbursement of its fees. As part of the parties' settlement of this Action, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have agreed to accept reimbursement in the total amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) in exchange for UNITE's agreement not to object to or challenge Class Counsel's fee request. It should be noted that no part of the fees to be paid will come out of the funds devoted to settling the Claims of Class Members.

IV. ARGUMENT

A. Summary Of The Law

Rule 54(d)(2)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that:

"[c]laims for attorneys' fees and related non-taxable expenses shall be made by motion unless the substantive law governing the action provides for the recovery of such fees as an element of damages to be proved at trial."

In the present case, appointed Class Counsel have substantially completed their representation of the Class and are entitled to receive reimbursement for reasonable time spent in pursuing the claims of the Class and reimbursement for the non-taxable expenses incurred during that representation. Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424 (1982).

8

B. General Standards In Computation Of The Fee Award

The United States Supreme Court has set forth the general rule for the computation of fees as follows: "the initial estimate of a reasonable attorneys' fee is properly calculated by multiplying the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation times a reasonable hourly rate based on the prevailing market rates in the relevant community." Blum v. Stenson, 465 U.S. 886, 887 (1984); Hensley, supra. This is the "lodestar". The lodestar may then be adjusted up or down on the basis of the ten factors set forth in Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express, 488 F.2d 714, 717-19 (5th Cir. 1974). Several of the Johnson factors warrant an *upward* adjustment of the lodestar, but because Class Counsel here has agreed to accept substantially *less* than what would otherwise be available for reimbursement based on the lodestar, detailed analysis of the Johnson factors is unnecessary.

The Supreme Court, in 1983, clarified the methodology by which attorneys' fees should be calculated, adopting a "blended approach." In Hensley, supra, the Court instructed courts to start by multiplying hours reasonably spent by a reasonable hourly rate, and then, where appropriate, to use the remaining Johnson factors to enhance or decrease this "initial estimate." Further, the Court recognized that many of the Johnson factors were "subsumed within the initial calculation of hours reasonably expended at a reasonable hourly rate." The Hensley court essentially instructed lower courts to use the "results" of a lawsuit as a means of gauging whether the amount of time which counsel expended was "reasonable".

The <u>Johnson</u> court explained twelve factors to consider, numbers 1 and 5 of which result in the lodestar. The factors are: (1) The time and labor required; (2) The novelty and difficulty of the questions; (3) The skill requisite to perform the legal service properly, (4) The preclusion of other employment by the attorney due to acceptance of the cas; (5) The customary [hourly] fee; (6) Whether the fee is fixed or contingent; (7) Time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances; (8) The amount involved and the results obtained; (9) The experience, reputation, and ability of the attorneys; (10) The "undesirability" of the case; (11) The nature and length of the professional relationship with the client; (12) Awards in similar cases.

The Third Circuit Court of Appeals has held that when calculating the lodestar, determining the reasonableness of attorney's fees requires a two part analysis. Bjorklund v. Philadelphia Housing Authority, No. 98-CV-2838, 2003 WL 22988885 (E.D. Pa., Nov. 20, 2003), citing Hensley, 461 U.S. at 433. First, the number of hours must be reviewed to assess whether they were reasonably expended. Bjorklund, id. Second, the court must decide whether the attorney's hourly rate is reasonable. Id. "Once these two numbers are established, they are multiplied to yield the lodestar, which is presumed to be a reasonable fee." Id., citing Washington v. Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas, 89 F.3d 1031, 1035 (3d Cir. 1996).

Although an attorney generally must specify the hours worked in sufficient detail so that the court may determine the reasonableness of his fee, "it is not necessary to know the exact number of minutes spent nor the precise activity to which each hour was devoted nor the specific attainments of each attorney." <u>Bjorklund</u>, 2003 WL 22988885, at *1, *citing* <u>Rode v. Dellarciprete</u>, 892 F.2d 1177, 1190 (3d Cir. 1990) (*quoting* <u>Lindy Bros. Builders, Inc. v. Am. Radiator & Standard Sanatory Corp.</u>, 487 F.2d 161, 167 (3d Cir. 1973)). The starting point in ascertaining a reasonable hourly rate is the attorney's usual billing rate. <u>Bjorklund</u>, <u>supra</u>, at *2, *citing* Maldonado v. Houston, 256 F.2d 181, 184-185 (3d Cir. 2001).

C. Calculation Of The Lodestar

In the present case, it is apparent that the successful final resolution of the class claims requires a calculation of fees for appointed lead Class Counsel made by multiplying those reasonable hours spent by that attorney and his professional staff by the most appropriate hourly rates. In this regard, it is suggested that, in light of the uncertain state of the law at the outset of this litigation, the fiercely determined and skillful defense put up by UNITE, and the

substantially successful outcome obtained by Class Counsel, the hours expended by Class Counsel, as set forth in the schedules attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B", were reasonable and necessary to the prosecution of the claims of the Class.

With regard to the calculation of the most appropriate hourly fee, the courts generally agree that where a law firm has established hourly rates that its private clients generally pay, those rates are presumptively the reasonable market rates to apply. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Student Public Interest Research Group v. AT&T</u>, 842 F.2d 1436, 1445 (3d Cir. 1988):

"We acknowledge that, in most cases, billing rates reflect market rates — they provide an efficient and fair short cut for determining the market rate. See Black Grievance Committee, 802 F.2d at 652 ('[t]he reasonable value of an attorney's time is the price that time normally commands in the marketplace, which is **generally** reflected in the attorney's normal billing rate' (emphasis added) (citing In re Fine Paper Lindy I at 590-91; at 167))." [Emphasis added by the Court].

Id., 842 F.2d at 1445.9

It is respectfully submitted that the most appropriate rates already have been determined by the clients of Spector Gadon & Rosen, P.C., as set forth in the attached schedule. These rates are not only those rates which are regularly and actually charged to and collected from by the firm's non-contingent clients, including Cintas in this very case, but also are in keeping with customary fees charged within the Philadelphia area in which plaintiff's attorneys practice by attorneys of equivalent skill and experience performing work of similar complexity. Keane v. Stone, 966 F.2d 119 (3d Cir. 1992). See Verification of Alan B. Epstein, Esquire attached hereto as Exhibit "D." See also, Verification of David B. Picker, Esquire, attached hereto; Haymond v. Lundy, 205 F. Supp.2d 403 (E.D. Pa. 2002) (finding that the rate of \$425/hr. for

⁹ Although this is subject to upward adjustment upon showing that the billing rates have been made artificially low to accommodate non-profit clients or causes, that issue is not presented in this case.

Paul Rosen, Esquire, was "reasonable and customary for lawyers of [his] reputation and experience" for services performed back in 2001). 10

The Court is fully familiar with both the quantity and the quality of the work that Class Counsel has done in this case. On behalf of the Class, Counsel pursued novel claims under a federal statute for which there was little then-existing caselaw — and some of what existed was not favorable (e.g., Pichler, 228 F.R.D. at 242-47) — and not only dealt with complex issues of law and fact, but also the difficulties of identifying potential Class Members in the light of UNITE's inadequate records. In doing so, Class Counsel repeatedly made new law, as reflected in no less than six decisions from this Court and one more from the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, published in the official Reports (as cited *above*), in which Plaintiffs were largely successful.

As noted, Class Counsel (and Cintas) have agreed to accept payment of an amount that is less than two-thirds (2/3) of the amounts actually expended in successfully pursuing this litigation on behalf of the Named Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class. In exchange, UNITE has agreed not to challenge or object to this request for reimbursement. Because of that, and because of the Court's familiarity with the scope and quality of Class Counsel's work in this case as outlined above and revealed in the published Reports as well as the Court's Docket, it is respectfully submitted that requiring Class Counsel to review for privilege, and then produce, its time records in this matter that has lasted more than 6½ years, is unnecessary and would be

The hourly rates charged and shown in the attached schedules (e.g., Exh. B) are those that were in effect at the various times when the work was actually performed, even though the Supreme Court has recognized that currently applicable rates may be employed in order to compensate for the delay in payment in lengthy cases such as this. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Missouri v. Jenkins</u>, 491 U.S. 274, 277, 281-83 (1989) (fee award under 42 U.S.C. §1988). As the third-party who advanced payment of Class Counsel's fees with the expectation of reimbursement upon a successful outcome, Cintas would arguably be entitled to the benefit of this doctrine. However, because the parties have agreed to cap the fee award at \$1 million — far less than the amount actually billed — the point is moot.

unduly burdensome. (However, if the Court does require it, these records can be produced *in camera* upon reasonable notice.)

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Dated: January 13, 2011

By reason of the foregoing, the within Motion should be granted and UNITE should be Ordered to pay to Class Counsel Spector Gadon & Rosen, P.C., as reimbursement of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and expenses in this case, the sum of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

Respectfully submitted,

SPECTOR GADON & ROSEN, P.C.

Paul R. Rosen, Esquire David B. Picker, Esquire 1635 Market Street - 7th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 241-8888 / FAX- (215) 241-8844

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION

- I, David B. Picker, Esquire, hereby verify that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:
- 1. I am a senior associate with Spector Gadon & Rosen, P.C., Class Counsel for the Plaintiff Class. I have thirty years' experience as a commercial litigator in federal and state courts in both Pennsylvania and New York. I am admitted to practice in Pennsylvania, New York, the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second and Third Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 2. I have worked on this case since December 2004, and am fully familiar with all of the work performed by Spector Gadon & Rosen and by the various attorneys and other professionals who worked on it. The bulk of that work was performed by myself and by Paul R. Rosen, Esquire. Additional significant work was performed by James Bucci, then a partner in the firm's Employment Law Department, Joyce Link, a senior commercial litigator, and Michael Friedman, an associate in the firm's Employment Law Department. Additional work was done by other attorneys as needed and appropriate to the task at hand and the particular attorneys' respective skill-sets. In addition, a substantial amount of work was done by experienced paralegals, mostly Amy Kelly-Forczek and then Jacqueline Caza.
- 3. I believe that the number of hours spent on this action fairly reflects the legal and evidentiary difficulties and complexities of the claims which Class Counsel has successfully pursued through extensive discovery, numerous motions in this Court, and appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court. Accordingly, the hours expended by counsel on this action as reflected in

Case 2:04-cv-02841-SD Document 310 Filed 01/13/11 Page 19 of 48

the schedules marked as Exhibits "A" and "B" to the attached Motion and Memorandum were

reasonable and necessary to the prosecution of this action, and I believe no billing was engaged

in that was unnecessarily repetitious or unreasonable in light of the circumstances presented.

No billing was made for clerical work performed by secretarial personnel. The 4.

recording of hours spent on this claim was done in strict accordance with our office policies and

were made contemporaneous with the actual time spent, in daily time records. The recorded

time spent was then transferred to a computerized ledger which was subsequently checked on a

monthly basis for accuracy.

The billing rates utilized in calculating the requested fees are those rates which 5.

this firm sets from time to time as its normal billing rates for non-contingent legal services.

These fees have in fact been paid by Cintas.

I further verify that the facts as set forth in the foregoing Motion and supporting 6.

Memorandum of Law are true and correct.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true

and correct.

Dated: January 13, 2011

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies under penalties of perjury that on this 13th day of January, 2011, I served the foregoing Plaintiffs' Motion For Award of Attorneys' Fees For Plaintiffs' Class Counsel on all parties, by E-Filing and by causing true and correct copies thereof to be sent to counsel, as follows:

BY MESSENGER DELIVERY

Lawrence T. Hoyle, Jr., Esquire Arlene Fickler, Esquire HOYLE, FICKLER, HERSCHEL & MATHES LLP One South Broad Street, Suite 1500 Philadelphia, PA 19107

BY FIRST CLASS U.S. MAIL

Laurence M. Goodman, Esquire Mark Featherman, Esquire WILLIG, WILLIAMS & DAVIDSON 1845 Walnut Street, 24th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorneys For Defendant UNITE

Date: January, 2010

David Picker, Esquire

EXHIBIT "A"

4
Ñ
4
Ξ
Š
ä
5

A/R TRANSACTION LISTING

Matter: 027916-0001 Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen Cintas Corporation

Description: Bill

2		.⊑		. ⊆		Ë		. <u>⊑</u>		2.	=		<u>.</u> ⊑		<u>.⊑</u>			<u>.</u> ⊑	
Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Just	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Taque, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Just	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kovin Oning Inst	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Just	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen		Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Just	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
Unallocated Matter		0.00 027916-0001 Kevin Quinn, Justin		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001 Kevin Quinn, Justin		0.00 027916-0001		Natilieen			0.00 027916-0001 Kevin Quinn, Justin		0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001 Kevin Quinn, Justin	
		0.00		0.00		00.00		0.00			9		0.00		0.00			0.00	
Soft Cost Other		106.10		0.00		0.00		90.85		c	6		35.20		0.00			77.04	
Hard Cost		637.39		416.61		0.00		17,529.63		00			8,626.97		898.91			7,100.46	
Fees		26,400.00		20,240.00		0.00		281,485.50		03 000 96	00,260,00		74,382.00		40,716.00			51,923.00	
Total		27,143.49		20,656.61		0.00		299,105.98		27 052 50	00:300		83,044.17		41,614.91			59,100.50	
n Deposit	Number																		
Docum	ent																		
Paid	[>		>		>		>		>			Σ		Σ		(5	
Type		Bill		Bill		譜		iii		=	<u></u>		Bill		Bill			Bill	
voice	Number	61102		63270		69059		78415		941			86739		87624			89805	
ecelpt 1	Date	61		63		65		78		8	5	-	8 6		87			38	
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type	Date	8/20/20	04	9/27/20		10/29/2	004	4/30/20	90	00/01/2	90		8/24/20	92	9/8/200	2		10/14/2	002

	_				
	ų.				
. 5	्रं •				
, i	ö				
	9				
	46				
	X				
	Ĭ			ļ	4
	Ğ				
	5	9			Ç
			P		
	×		S		١.
	ž		202		
- 1	ನ				
	۳				1
	٠.٥		ä	à	×
	10			è	
'	ä				
	ö				
4	ပ				
- 4	=				
	õ				
1	Ų				
	ai.				` :
		á			
	ب			:	
	8				
+	ϋ				
	D				
	E				
	ř				
1.2					
	10				
	ğ				
	9		Ġ		
	_				
					1.0
	- 61				
14.					d
	æ				d.
	ego O				4
	Total				
	Lotal				
	sit Total				2
	osit Total			يامار	3
	sposit Total			mhor	
	Deposit Total			Limbor	
	Deposit Total			N-mper	
	n Deposit Total			N-imhor	
	ım Deposit Total			* Nimber	
	cum Deposit Total			nt.	
	ocum Deposit Total			ant. Nimber	
	Docum Deposit Total				
	Docum Deposit Total			Part - Kimhor	
	l Docum Deposit Total			Dat. Nimber	
	ild Docum Deposit Total			ant. Nimber	
	Paid Docum Deposit Total			ant Nimber	
	Paid Docum Deposit Total			ont Nimber	
	Paid Docum Deposit Total			ant Nimber	1000000
	Paid Docum Deposit Total				
	a Paid Docum Deposit Total		4	Sumpor Nimbor	
	pe Paid Docum Deposit Total			ant Nimber	ioniinii iiio
	Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Ant Nimber	
	Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			ont Nimber	,
	Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			And Nimber	
	e Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			ort Limbor	
	ice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			hor Nimbor	
	roice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			mhor Nimhor	
	nvoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			inmber Nimber	
	Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Nimber Nimber	i Carriero III Carriero Carrie
	t invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Nimber	
	pt invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Nimber Nimber	
	eipt invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			ta Nimber	
	sceipt invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Data Nimber	
	Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Data Nimber	
	Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Date Nimber	
	se Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Safe Nimber	
	oice Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			to Date Nimber	
	voice Receipt Invoice Type Pald Docum Deposit Total			Jate Date Nimber	
	Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Date Date Nimber	
	Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit Total			Date Date Nimber	

InqMatterARMasterHistory

Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Taque, Seth Nye	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye,	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen					
allocated Matter		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001
Other Unallocated		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Soft Cost		0.00		0.00		267.09	0.00		2,024.23	9,341.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hard Cost		14,217.50		3,223.61		14,120.59	5,625.13		5,526.20	5,096.69	5,123.75	1,343.61	3,533.74	(781.90)
Fees		00.00		55,471.00		74,770.00	65,132.50		80,322.50	49,327.50	45,092.00	36,583.00	53,125.50	27,481.50
Total		14,217.50		58,694.61		89,157.68	70,757.63		87,872.93	63,765.68	50,215.75	37,926.61	56,659.24	26,699.60
n Deposit	Number													
Paid Docum	te [<u> </u>		D		5	Σ		<u>্</u> য	Ŋ	\supset	>	>	>
Туре		Bill		III		Bill	Bill		Biil	E B	Bill	III	Bill	Bill
nvoice Receipt Invoice	Date Number	90292		91172		93391	94556		98288	428	2023	4157	6077	7631
Invoice Rec	Date Da	10/26/2	005	11/8/20	}	12/15/2 005	1/9/200		2/16/20	3/20/20 06	4/7/200	5/8/200 6	6/15/20 06	7/11/20

Matter Name	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Şeth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen					
Matter	0.00 027916-0001 K	G C0 027916-0001 K	0.00 027916-0001 K T	0.00 027916-0001 K	0.00 027916-0001 K T	0.00 027916-0001 K T X	0.00 027916-0001 T X	0.00 027916-0001 X T	0.00 027916-0001 X T	0.00 027916-0001 Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
Other Unallocated	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Soft Cost	0000	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hard Cost	00:00	4,464.24	12.98	513.22	423.32	2,923.10	3,856.28	608.61	5,221.66	0.00
8 6	0.00	1,597.00	5,715.00	23,348.00	43,788.50	31,667.00	29,542.00	30,175.00	19,907.50	4,947.50
E E	0.00	6,061.24	5,727.98	23,861.22	44,211.82	34,590.10	33,398.28	30,783.61	25,129.16	4,947.50
Paid Docum Deposit ent Number	Š									
		Σ	>	5	>	$oldsymbol{\Sigma}$	\square		Σ	>
Type	Bill	Bill	Bii	Bill	Bill	B	Bill	III Billion	III Bii	
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Date Date Number		9635	11502	13853	15884	19158	20135	24211	25972	28388
Invoice Re Date		8/9/200 6	9/12/20	10/9/20	11/3/20	12/27/2 006	1/8/200	2/13/20	3/7/200	4/13/20 07

Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen								
Unallocated Matter		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001
Other U		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Soft Cost (0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hard Cost		1,144.51		0.00	3.12	0.00	2.16	47.12	4.79	1,253.03	9:00	31.49
Fees		19,492.50		870.00	5,037.50	0.00	730.00	2,032.50	1,045.00	15,410.00	5,708.00	15,407.00
Total		20,637.01		870.00	5,040.62	0.00	732.16	2,079.62	1,049.79	16,663.03	5,714.00	15,438.49
Deposit	Number											
Paid Docum	eut 	\mathfrak{D}	[<u> </u>	>	>	\supset	>		\	\square	>
		Bill		⊞	Bill	=	III.	Bill	Bill	III	Bill	III
nvoice	umber	30617 B		33749 B	35186 B	35982 B	37171 B	39197 B	41449 B	43650 B	46273 B	49618 B
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type	Date Number											
Involc	Date	5/11/20		6/26/20	7/10/20	7/31/20	8/9/200	9/11/20	10/8/20	11 <i>171</i> 20 07	12/6/20	1/9/200

Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Justin	rague, perninye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye. Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen				
allocated Watter		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001	C.CD 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001
Other Unallocated		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Soft Cost		0.00		0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	219.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hard Cost		26.08		26.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	96. 8	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.53
F008		0.00		0.00	343.50	179.00	3,713.50	652.00	621.50	167.50	464.50	3,285.00
Lota		26.08		26.08	343.50	179.00	3,713.50	875.52	621.50	167.50	464.50	3,306.53
um Deposit	ıt Number											
Paid	*	Ŋ)	5	D))	>)	\supset	D
Type		Bill		Bill	II	=	BIII	Bill Bill	⊞	=	## 8	8
pt Invoice	Number	51756		51756	53725	56158	57784	96209	64015	66916	69486	72383
	Date Date	2/11/20	80 0	2/11/20	3/12/20 08	4/11/20	5/7/200 8	6/6/200 8	7/7/200 8	8/6/200	9/8/200	10/13/2

Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	אַפווופפון	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Katnieen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen
cated Matter		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 02/916-0001			0.00 027916-0001		
Other Unallocated		0.00		0.00		00.00		0.00		0.00			0.00		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Soft Cost		0.00		0.00		86.64		82.05		(16.05)			0.00		0.00			0.00		•	0.00			34.56		
Hard Cost		3.53		0.00		23.67		20.44		9.94			1,222.34		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
F 808		8,745.00		5,361,00		4,546.00		17,850.00		6,815.50			1,773.50		3,821.00			0.00			110.31			2,723.00		
Total		8,748.53		5.361.00		4,656.31		17,952.49		6,809.39			2,995.84		3,821.00			0.00			110.31			2,757.56		
Deposit	ent Number																									
		>		[5]		5		>		>		[<u>S</u>		>		0	<u>S</u>		Σ]		[<u> </u>		
Type		Bill			ļ.	Bill		Bill		Bill					Bill			Bill			Bill			III		
Invoice	Date Number	74342 B		77224 B		79952 B		82510 B		85273 B			87504 B		89854 B			90186 B			90237 E			92413 E		
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid	Date Date	11/7/20	08	12/5/20	80	1/13/20	60	2/5/200	O	3/10/20	60		4/9/200 9		5/6/200	O		5/8/200	တ		5/8/200	O		6/9/200	თ	

Matter Name	기술 기술 기술 기술 기술 기술 기술 기술 기술	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen								
llocated Matter		0.00 027916-0001		0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001	0.00 027916-0001
Other Unallocated		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Soft Cost		399.48		0.00	108.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hard Cost		24.62		3.06	13.00	36.70	0.00	0.00	43.00	24.68	0.00	0.00
Fees		18,041.00		1,592.00	17,366.50	11,098.50	8,173.00	6,228.00	4,733.00	5,016.00	1,580.50	483.50
Deposit Total	Number	18,465.10		1,595.06	17,487.86	11,135.20	8,173.00	6,228.00	4,776.00	5,040.68	1,580.50	483.50
Docum	ent											
Paid		>		D)		>))	Σ	Σ	Σ
ž		⊞		=	Biii		<u>=</u>	=	iii	<u></u>		
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type	Date Number	95191		97483	99643	1878	4102	6197	80109	80703	81468	82747
Invoice F	Date	7/15/20	60	8/10/20	9/9/200	10/5/20	11/6/20	12/4/20	2/18/20	3/9/201	4/8/201	5/12/20

Invoice Receipt Invoice	Invoice	Type Paid	Paid	Docum	Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other	Unallocated Matter	Matter Name
7/13/20 84		Bill	Ŋ			4,923.10	4,910.50	12.60	00.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
10												Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
8/4/201 89	85367	Bill	Σ		~	2,787.50	2,787.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
9/8/201 84	85834	Bill)			3,239.00	3,239.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
10/6/20 8/	86638	Bill	>			9,723.50	9,723.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
10/25/2 8 ⁻ 010	87321	Bill				10,053.30	0.00	743.30	9,310.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
11/5/20 8 ⁻ 10	87353	III	>			10,719.00	10,719.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
12/31/2 8 010	88949	Bill				792.92	0.00	0.00	792.92	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye. Kathleen
						1,580,760.38 1,442,6. Description: Receipt	1,442,627.81 Receipt	115,173.05	22,959.52	0.00	0.00	
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid Date Date Number . 8/20/20 9/8/200 61102 Receipt ✓ 04 4	Invoice Number 31102	Type Receipt	D	Docum ent Conver sion -	Deposit Number	Total (27,143.49)	Fees (26,400.00)	Hard Cost (637.39)	Soft Cost (106.10)	Other 0.00	Unallocated Matter 0.00 027916-0001	Matter Name Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen

Invoice	Invoice Receipt Invoice Type	• Type	Paid	Docum	Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other Unallocated	allocated Matter	Matter Name
Date	Date Date Number	, a		ent	Number							
9/27/20	9/27/20 10/12/2 63270	Receipt	>	Conver		(20.00)	0.00	(20.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
8	004			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
9/27/20	9/27/20 10/29/2 63270	Receipt	\square	Conver		(20,636.61)	(20,240.00)	(396.61)	00.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
04	004			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
10/29/2	10/29/2 10/29/2 65069	Receipt	Σ	Conver		(20.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	-20.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
004	400			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
10/29/2	10/29/2 10/29/2 65069	Receipt)	CONV		0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
004	004			Zero								Tague, Seth Nye,
				Rcpt -								Kathleen
				iv:								
				97643								
10/29/2	10/29/2 11/24/2 65069	Receipt	D	Conver		20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
900	004			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
10/29/2	7/8/200 65069	Receipt	>	Conver		(20.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	-20.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
004	S			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				РАҰ								Kathleen
10/29/2	10/29/2 7/12/20 65069	Receipt	Σ	Conver		20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00 027916-0001	
400	90			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
4/30/20	4/30/20 6/7/200 78415	Receipt	>	Conver)	(299,105.98)	(281,485.50)	(17,529.63)	(90.85)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
05	ıç			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			-	РАҮ								Kathieen
7/12/20	7/12/20 7/12/20 84168	Receipt	D	Conver		(20.00)	0.00	(20.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
95	02			sion - PAY								Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
7/12/20	7/12/20 8/8/200 84168	Receipt	>	Conver		(37,032.50)	(36,892.50)	(140.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
05	r2			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen

InqMatterARMasterHistory	

voice Re	Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid Docum Deposit	Type	Paid	Docum	Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other	Unallocated Matter	Matter Name
ate D	Date Date Number			ent	Number							
24/20 9/2	8/24/20 9/26/20 86739	Receipt	>	Conver		(83,044.17)	(74,382.00)	(8,626.97)	(35.20)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
05	90			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
3/200 10	9/8/200 10/7/20 87624	Receipt	\supset	Conver		(41,614.91)	(40,716.00)	(898.91)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
2	05			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
14/2 10	10/14/2 10/31/2 89805	Receipt	Σ	Conver		(59,100.50)	(51,923.00)	(7,100.46)	(77.04)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
900	900			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				РАҮ								Kathleen
126/2 12	10/26/2 12/1/20 90292	Receipt	>	Conver		(14,217.50)	0.00	(14,217.50)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
900	05			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
8/20 12	11/8/20 12/6/20 91172	Receipt	D	Conver		(58,694.61)	(55,471.00)	(3,223.61)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
92	05			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
115/2 1/	12/15/2 1/12/20 93391	Receipt	>	Conver		(89,157.68)	(74,770.00)	(14,120.59)	(267.09)	00.0	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
900	90			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
1,200 21	1/9/200 2/7/200 94556	Receipt	\square	Conver		(70,757.63)	(65,132.50)	(5,625.13)	0.00	00.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
9	9			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				РАҮ								Kathleen
6/20 3/	2/16/20 3/14/20 98288	Receipt	>	Conver		(87,872.93)	(80,322.50)	(5,526.20)	(2,024.23)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90	90			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
20/20 4/	3/20/20 4/6/200 428	Receipt	\square	Conver		(63,765.68)	(49,327.50)	(5,096.69)	(9,341.49)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90	9			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
7/200 5/	4/7/200 5/8/200 2023	Receipt	\sum	Conver		(50,215.75)	(45,092.00)	(5,123.75)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
9	9			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen

Invoice Receipt Invoice	Туре	Paid	Docum Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other Unallocated	allocated Matter	Matter Name
Cate Cate Number	994	Σ		(07.000.04)	(00 000)	(4.040.04)	6		0.00.007046.0004	Signal Common
77/0/0	Receipt]	Conver	(10.026, /c)	(30,565.00)	(10.545.1)	90.0	3	0.00 027 810-000	Mevill California Sustill
o o			sion - PAY							rague, sein ivye, Kathleen
6/15/20 7/14/20 6077	Receipt	>	Conver	(56,659.24)	(53,125.50)	(3,533.74)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90 90			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY							Kathleen
7/11/20 7/28/20 7631	Receipt	Σ	Conver	(26,699.60)	(27,481.50)	781.90	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90 90			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY							Kathleen
7/26/20 7/26/20 8442	Receipt	>	CONV	00:00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90 90			Zero							Tague, Seth Nye,
			Rcpt -							Kathleen
			lnv:							
			123391							
8/9/200 9/5/200 9635	Receipt	D	Conver	(6,061.24)	(1,597.00)	(4,464.24)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
9			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY							Kathleen
9/12/20 10/6/20 11502	Receipt	>	Conver	(5,727.98)	(5,715.00)	(12.98)	00:00	00.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90 90			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			РАҮ							Kathleen
10/9/20 5/23/20 13853	Receipt	D	Conver	(23,861.22)	(23,348.00)	(513.22)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
20 90			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY							Kathleen
11/3/20 12/6/20 15884	Receipt	\square	Conver	(44,211.82)	(43,788.50)	(423.32)	00.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
90 90			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			РАҮ							Kathleen
12/27/2 1/24/20 19158	Receipt		Conver	(34,590.10)	(31,667.00)	(2,923.10)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
000 07	weep		sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY							Kathleen
1/8/200 2/12/20 20135	Receipt	<u> </u>	Conver	(33,398.28)	(29,542.00)	(3,856.28)	00.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
70 7			sion -							Tague, Seth Nye,
			РАҮ							Kathleen

Invoice	Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid	Type		Docum	Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other	Soft Cost Other Unallocated Matter	Matter Name
Date	Date Date Number			ent	Number							
2/13/20	24211	Receipt	D	Conver		(30,783.61)	(30,175.00)	(608.61)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
07	_			sion - PAY								Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
3/7/200	3/7/200 4/24/20 25972	Receipt [>	Conver		(25,129.16)	(19,907.50)	(5,221.66)	0.00	00.0	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
7	, 07			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
07/4/70	4/13/20 4/26/20 28388	Deceint	>	Conver		(4 947 50)	(4 947 50)	00 0	00 0	0	0.00.027916-0001	
70				sion -								
				PAY								Kathleen
5/11/20	5/11/20 6/4/200 30617	Receipt	>	Conver		(20,637.01)	(19,492.50)	(1,144.51)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
20	7			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
6/26/20	7/18/20 33749	Receipt		Conver		(870.00)	(870.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	_
20	20 /			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
7/10/20	7/10/20 7/31/20 35186	Receipt	[]	Conver		(5,040.62)	(5,037.50)	(3.12)	00.00	00.0	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
07	70 7			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
7/31/20	7/31/20 7/31/20 35982	Receipt	D	Conver		(870.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	-870.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
07	70 7			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen
7/31/20	7/31/20 7/31/20 35982	Receipt	>	CONV		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
20	70 7			Zero								Tague, Seth Nye,
				Rcpt -								Kathleen
				Inv:								
7/34/20	7/31/20 8/9/200 35982	Receipt	>	Cooker		732 16	0	000	0	732 16	0.00.027916-0001	Kevin Oringa
7211011				, .		02:10	3	8	8	26.10	000-018-170-00:0	
ò	_			sion - PAY								l ague, seth Nye, Kathleen
7/31/20	7/31/20 9/11/20 35982	Receipt	>	Conver		137.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	137.84	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
20	70 7			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				PAY								Kathleen

Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	C.	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	ue	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	· ue	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	en	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	ue	Kevin Quinn, Justin	rague, Seth Nye,	ue	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Fague, Seth Nye,	eu	Kevin Quinn, Justin	rague, Seth Nye,	eu	Kevin Quinn, Justín	Tague, Seth Nye,	eu	Kevin Quinn, Justin	rague, Seth Nye,	Ca
		Kevin (Tague	Kathleen	Kevin	Tague	Kathleen	Kevin	Tague	Kathleen	Kevin	Tague	Kathleen		Tague	Kathleen		Tague	Kathleen		Tague	Kathleen	Kevin	Tague	Kathleen		Tague	Kathleen		Tague	Kathleen
Matter		0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001		
Other Unallocated		00.0			00.0			0.00			00.0			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Other		0.00			00.00			0.00			00.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Soft Cost		0.00			0.00			0.00			00.00			0.00			00.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Hard Cost		(2.16)			(47.12)			0.00			(4.79)			(1,253.03)			0.00			0.00			(26.08)			0.00			0.00		
F908	89. 19. 19.	(730.00)			(90.72)			(1,941.78)			(1,045.00)			(15,410.00)			(5,708.00)			(15,407.00)			0.00			(343.50)			(179.00)		
Total		(732.16)			(137.84)			(1,941.78)			(1,049.79)			(16,663.03)			(5,708.00)			(15,407.00)			(26.08)			(343.50)			(179.00)		
Deposit	Number																														
Docum	ent	Conver	sion -	PAY	Conver	sion -	РАҮ	Conver	sion -	PAY																					
Paid		D			Σ			Σ			\supset			D			[]						[]			D			>		
Type		Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt		
Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid	Date Number	8/9/200 8/9/200 37171	7		9/11/20 9/11/20 39197	20		9/11/20 10/11/2 39197	200		10/8/20 12/5/20 41449	20		11/7/20 12/11/2 43650	200		12/6/20 12/31/2 46273	200		1/9/200 2/13/20 49618	08		2/11/20 3/12/20 51756	08		3/12/20 4/14/20 53725	80		4/11/20 5/12/20 56158	90	
Invoice	Date	8/9/200	7		9/11/20	07		9/11/20	07		10/8/20	07		11/7/20	07		12/6/20	20		1/9/200	σο		2/11/20	08		3/12/20	80		4/11/20	08	

InqMatterARMasterHistory

Matter Name		Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathieen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen	Kevin Quinn, Justin	Tague, Seth Nye,	Kathleen
Matter		0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001			0.00 027916-0001		
Other Unallocated		0.00			0.00			0.00			00.0			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Soft Cost		0.00			(219.56)			0.00	,	•	0.00			0.00			00.00			0.00			0.00			(86.64)			(82.05)		
Hard Cost		0.00			(3.96)			0.00			0.00			0.00			(21.53)			(3.53)			0.00			(23.67)			(20.44)		
Fees		(3,713.50)			(652.00)			(621.50)			(167.50)			(464.50)			(3,285.00)			(8,745.00)			(5,361.00)			(4,435.69)			(17,850.00)		
Total		(3,713.50)			(875.52)			(621.50)			(167.50)			(464.50)			(3,306.53)			(8,748.53)			(5,361.00)			(4,546.00)			(17,952.49)		
Deposit	ent Number																									· i					
Docum		Conver	sion -	PAY	Conver	sion -	PAY	Conver	sion -	РАҮ	Conver	sion -	PAY	Conver	sion -	РАҮ	Conver	sion -	PAY												
Paid		\square			>			\sum			Σ			D			>			>			>			>			Ď		
Type		Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt			Receipt		
Invoice Receipt Invoice Tvoe	Date Number	5/7/200 5/31/20 57784	90		6/6/200 7/15/20 60796	08		7/7/200 8/5/200 64015	ھ		8/6/200 9/11/20 66916	90		9/8/200 10/6/20 69486	80		10/13/2 11/5/20 72383	80		11/7/20 1/6/200 74342	o		12/5/20 1/6/200 77224	O		1/13/20 2/24/20 79952	60		2/5/200 3/20/20 82510	60	
Invoice	Date Date	5/7/200	80		6/6/200	80		7/7/200	ω		8/6/200	ω		9/8/200	۵		10/13/2	800		11/7/20	90		12/5/20	08		1/13/20	60		2/5/200	о	

Page 14

ony
rHist
laste
ARN
atter
IndM

Invoice Receipt Invoice Type	oice Type	Paid	Docum	Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other	Other Unallocated Matter	Matter Name
Date Date Number	nber		ent	Number							
3/10/20 4/20/20 85273	73 Receipt	<u>></u>	Conver		(6,809.39)	(6,815.50)	(9.94)	16.05	00.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
60 60			sion - PAY								Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
4/9/200 6/5/200 87504	34 Receipt	>	Conver		(2,995.84)	(1,773.50)	(1,222.34)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
6			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
		C	PAY								
5/6/200 7/6/200 89854	54 Receipt	<u></u>	Conver		(3,821.00)	(3,821.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	
6			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen
5/8/200 5/8/200 90186	86 Receipt	<u>\</u>	CONV		00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
6			Zero								Tague, Seth Nye,
			Rcpt -								Kathleen
			: <u>\</u>								
			132429								
5/8/200 5/8/200 90237	37 Receipt	<u>></u>	Conver		(110.31)	(110.31)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
6			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen
6/9/200 10/2/20 92413	13 Receipt	<u>></u>	Conver		(2,757.56)	(2,723.00)	00.00	(34.56)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
60 6			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen
7/15/20 10/9/20 95191	91 Receipt	<u>5</u>	Conver		(18,465.10)	(18,041.00)	(24.62)	(399.48)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	_
60 60			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen
8/10/20 10/2/20 97483	.83 Receipt	<u>></u>	Conver		(1,595.06)	(1,592.00)	(3.06)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
60 60			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen
9/9/200 10/30/2 99643	43 Receipt	<u>5</u>	Conver		(17,487.86)	(17,366.50)	(13.00)	(108.36)	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	_
600 6			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen
10/5/20 12/7/20 1878	'8 Receipt	<u>></u>	Conver		(11,135.20)	(11,098.50)	(36.70)	00.0	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	_
60 60			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
			PAY								Kathleen

Invoice R	Invoice Receipt Invoice Type Paid	Туре	Paid	Docum Deposit	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other	Unallocated Matter	Matter Name
Date	Date Date Number			ent Number							
11/6/20 1	11/6/20 12/7/20 4102	Receipt	Σ	Conver sion -	(8,173.00)	(8,173.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye,
3	3			PAY							Kathleen
12/4/20 1	12/4/20 12/31/2 6197 09 009	Receipt	\square	Conver sion - PAY	(6,228.00)	(6,228.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
2/18/20 4	2/18/20 4/6/201 80109 10 0	Receipt	D	461659 JS4-6-10 1	(4,733.00)	(4,690.00)	(43.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
3/9/201 4	3/9/201 4/26/20 80703 0 10	Receipt	<u>></u>	462540 JS4-26-10 5	(5,016.00)	(4,991.32)	(24.68)	0.00	00.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
4/8/201 5	4/8/201 5/10/20 81468 0 10	Receipt	>	463979 JS5-10-10 7	(1,580.50)	(1,580.50)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
5/12/20 6	5/12/20 6/29/20 82747 10 10	Receipt	\supset	467195 JS6-29-10 7	(483.50)	(483.50)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye. Kathleen
7/13/20 9	7/13/20 9/7/201 84353 10 0	Receipt	\supset	KF 97 4724010 10	(4,923.10)	(4,910.50)	(12.60)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	I Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
3/4/201	8/4/201 10/12/2 85367 0 010	Receipt	>	474774 KF 10 12 6 10	(2,787.50)	(2,787.50)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
9/8/201	9/8/201 11/2/20 85834 0 10	Receipt	>	476699 vbg 11 02 9 10	(3,239.00)	(3,239.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	1 Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
10/6/20	10/6/20 11/10/2 86638 10 010	Receipt	\square	477112 KF 11 10 0 10	(9,723.50)	(9,723.50)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	 Kevin Quinn, Justin Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen

1/5/2011 4:24

terARMasterHistory
InqMat

nvoice	Invoice Receipt Invoice	Týpe	Paid	Docum Deposit	Deposit	Total	F. 88	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other L	Untillocated Walter	Matter Name
Date	Date Date Number		Salat	ent Number	Number							
11/5/20	11/5/20 12/31/2 87353	Receipt	>	480817 KF 12 31	F 12 31	(10,719.00)	(10,719.00)	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00 027916-0001	_
10	010			•••	10							Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
					1)	,569,672.60)	(1,569,672.60) (1,442,449.82)	(114,366.18)	(12,856.60)	0.00	0.00	
						Description: Write Off	: Write Off					
nvolce Date	Involce Receipt Invoice Date Date Number	Type	Paid	Docum Deposit ent Number	Deposit Number	Total	Fees	Hard Cost	Soft Cost	Other	Other Unallocated Matter	Matter Name
12/6/20	12/6/20 12/31/2 46273	Woff	<u>[</u>]	Conver		(0.00)	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
07	200			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
0		27.147	Σ	W ((04.40)	ć	(34.40)	c	Ċ	1000-318-0000	
1/3/200	8 08	5		sion -		(et : 10)	8	(ct. c)	9	8		
				WOFF								Kathleen
2/11/20	2/11/20 3/31/20 51756	Woff	>	Conver		(26.08)	00.00	(26.08)	0.00	00.0	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
08	80			sion - WOFF								Tague, Seth Nye, Kathieen
1/13/20	1/13/20 5/31/20 79952	Woff	Σ	Conver		(110.31)	(110.31)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	
60	60			sion -								Tague, Seth Nye,
				WOFF								Kathleen
2/18/20	2/18/20 5/14/20 80109	Woff	>	SM 05-		(43.00)	(43.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
10	10			14-10								Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
3/9/201	3/9/201 5/14/20 80703	Woff	Σ	SM 05-		(24.68)	(24.68)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 027916-0001	Kevin Quinn, Justin
0	10			14-10								Tague, Seth Nye, Kathleen
⊢						(241.56)	(177.99)	(63.57)	00.00	0.00	0.00	
						10,846.22	0.00	743.30	10,102.92	0.00	00'0	

EXHIBIT "B"

Timekeeper Name Rosen, Paul	Work Date 8/16/04 -11/12/10	Work Hou Wo	rk Rate 500
Colantuono, Rocco	11/30/04 - 10/14/10 5/12/10 - 6/17/09	77.60 2.20 79.80	50 55
Siderio, Carol A.	12/8/05 - 12/12/05	20.50	125
Braverman, Elliott K.	6/30/10 - 7/20/10	2.50	400
Picker, David B.	12/16/04 - 12/20/04 1/5/05 - 12/29/05 1/3/06 - 8/3/06 8/29/06 - 12/28/06 1/2/07 - 9/27/07 1/4/07 - 12/7/07 2/8/08 - 12/31/10	3.60 739.90 573.60 260.70 189.10 76.40 331.70 2,175.00	255 260 275 320 325 330 335
Asch, Marlene	8/16/2004	1.00	125
Schiller, Suzanne llene	12/23/2004 1/13/2005 12/4/2007	1.20 1.30 4.60 7.10	305 325 370
Bucci, James	4/13/04 - 8/18/05	395.10	325
Bellingham, Bruce	12/27/04 - 12/31/04 1/1/05 - 12/ 04/07	32.00 67.40 99.40	240 290
Ebner, Maryanne	9/6/2005	1.00	125
Wells, Gregory	9/3/04 - 9/14/09	8.50	125
Friedman, Michael	6/29/04 - 12/7/06 1/3/07 - 1/30/07	416.30 19.40 435.70	150 225
Cole, Beth L.	4/21/04 - 1/11/05	238.40	225
Smith, Beatrice R.	12/19/2005	0.40	130
Adams, Jen	9/9/04 - 9/30/05 2/22/06 - 10/31/06	11.80 4.20 16.00	275 280
Kelly-Forczek, Amy J.	12/14/04 - 12/30/04 1/3/05 - 12/30/05 1/9/06 - 9/14/06	22.50 456.20 319.40	125 130 135

		798.10	
Seng, Nary	12/17/04 - 10/18/07	24.40	50
Carrizo, Gina	7/14/04 - 6/19/06	29.00	50
Vinson, Leonard	11/15/04 - 8/25/09	33.80	50
Link, Joyce	8/9/05 - 12/28/05 1/3/06 - 10/18/06	303.60 223.10 526.70	300 325
Gallucci, Jr; Richard D.	12/4/2007	3.40	305
Vollmer, Lauren	4/17/06 - 5/23/06	2.10	50
Rogan, Pam	10/20/08 - 10/22/08	1.00	155
Caza, Jacqueline	10/16/06 - 9/24/07 10/2/07 - 11/25/07 2/12/08 - 12/8/10	231.40 15.00 251.80 498.20	150 155 160
Bookbinder, Michael	7/1/10 - 7/8/10	3.40	295
	Total Hours	5,840.50	

EXHIBIT "C"

Title Timekeeper Name Rosen, Paul R. Senior Partner and Chair of Commercial Litigation Department, with over 45 years experience in commercial litigation matters. Philadelphia Common Partner Pleas Court Judge Pro Tem Picker, David B. 30 years experience in commercial litigation. Arbitrator in New York City Sr. Associate Small Claims and Philadelphia Common Pleas Courts. Partner **Bucci**, James 20 years experience in the area of employment law. Partner Braverman, Elliott K. Has over 40 years experience in tax-related legal matters, and Chair of Income Tax Department. Link, Joyce Partner Over 26 years experience in commercial litigation. A partner with Bricker & Eckler, LLP in Cincinnati, Ohio and a former Ohio Assistant Attorney General for the Court of Claims Defense section, prior to working at Spector Gadon & Rosen. Partner Schiller, Suzanne Ilene Over 23 years experience in commercial litigation. Sr. Associate Bookbinder, Michael Over 17 years experience in tax related matters. Sr. Associate Gallucci, Jr., Richard D. Over 12 years experience in commercial litigation.

Adams, Jen Associate

Over 10 years experience in litigation/real estate matters.

Bellingham, Bruce Associate

Over 13 years experience in commercial litigation. Holds a Ph.D. in Sociology and J.D. from University of Pennsylvania Law School.

Cole, Beth L. Associate

Friedman, Michael Associate

Over 9 years experience in employment law.

Asch, Marlene Paralegal

Twelve years' experience as litigation paralegal.

Caza, Jacqueline Paralegal

Over 17 years experience in litigation matters. B.S. from Drexel University in

Business Administration.

Paralegal

Ebner, Maryanne

Over 22 years experience as a litigation paralegal.

Kelly-Forczek, Amy J.

Experienced litigation paralegal Paralegal

Rogan, Pam Paralegal

Over 5 years experience in litigation.

Siderio, Carol A. Paralegal

Smith, Beatrice R. Paralegal

Wells, Gregory Paralegal

Mailroom

Colantuono, Rocco Supervisor

Carrizo, Gina Clerk

Seng, Nary Clerk

Vinson, Leonard Clerk

Volmer, Lauren Clerk

EXHIBIT "D"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ELIZABETH PICHLER, RUSSELL, CHRISTIAN, SETH NYE, HOLLY MARSTON, KEVIN QUINN, JOSE L. SABASTRO, THOMAS RILEY,

CIVIL ACTION

AMY RILEY and RUSSELL DAUBERT, :

NO. 04-CV-02841

On Behalf Of Themselves And All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

UNITE (UNION OF NEEDLETRADES, : INDUSTRIAL & TEXTILE : EMPLOYEES AFL-CIO), :

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF ALAN B. EPSTEIN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES FOR PLAINTIFF CLASS'S COUNSEL

- I, Alan B. Epstein, Esquire, hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the following facts are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:
- 1. I am a trial attorney of extensive background and have been admitted to the practice of law before the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania since 1970. I am also admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Middle Districts of Pennsylvania, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, for the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, for the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and for the United States Supreme Court. My qualifications, experience and reputation have merited an "AV" rating in Martindale-Hubbell (attached hereto as Exhibit "2"), a listing of me as one of the Best Lawyers in America in the publication of that name for more than 10 years, a listing in Who's Who in American Law since 1990, and recognition by his peers as one of the "Top 100 Pennsylvania and Philadelphia Super Lawyers." I have also been selected as one of the nation's "Top 500 Litigators", "Top 500 Plaintiff's

Lawyers", and "Top 500 Leading Lawyers" by the publication <u>Law Dragon</u>, for 2006, 2007 and 2010.

- 2. I have participated as a private attorney in hundreds of cases in state and federal courts since 1971, and have served as lead counsel in class actions and as lead trial attorney in individual actions in federal courts including, the United States District Courts for the Eastern, Middle and Western Districts of Pennsylvania, United States District Courts for the Districts of New Jersey, New York, Washington, and Delaware, and in the state courts in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware (pro hac vice admission).
- 3. In connection with my work with the Plaintiffs' Employment Panel of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and my extensive work as referral counsel accepting cases from other lawyers, I have knowledge of the rates charged by lawyers and paralegals in the communities in which I practice, including those in Southeastern Pennsylvania and the Philadelphia area.
- 4. I have reviewed the chart attached as Exhibit B to the Motion For Attorneys' Fees. I am personally familiar with the skill and experience levels of the attorneys and paralegals listed therein. It is my considered opinion that the hourly rates set forth for each attorney and paralegal is reasonable and commensurate with their level of experience and skill, and commonly charged by attorneys, and paid for by clients, in the context of complex litigation matters in the Philadelphia legal market..
- 5. In addition, as a member of the firm's Executive Committee, I know that these are the billing rates established and reviewed on a yearly basis, and from time to time modified, by Spector Gadon & Rosen, and that the listed rates are those at which the firm normally bills for non-contingent legal services.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: January 2, 2011